



CRISCO Local Process - Reporting

A. Local Process - Introduction

Local Panels of Stakeholders (LPS) are central in CRISCO.

- Each CRISCO participant sets up a motivated, active and well-balanced LPS (based on these proportions: 1/3 of citizens or citizens' organizations, 1/3 non-profit and civil society organizations, and 1/3 experts, officials and local authorities), that will meet regularly to experiment and review (new or existing) local integration initiatives.
- In each CRISCO partner, the LPS is composed of at least 18 formal members (at least one signed attendance list per reporting period is necessary 4 in total), but may be widely enlarged by the informal participation of the wider local community.
- Building on their existing mechanisms of local democracy, each partner town will maintain existing or develop new instruments to involve local stakeholders and citizens in the project, especially the "hard-to-reach" groups.
- For this purpose, different participatory approaches, adapted to their specific conditions and needs, are expected to be maintained or developed by the CRISCO partners
- Local activities could be of two main types:
 - Reflection: debates, workshops, in-field visits, review of existing local initiatives (including interviews or questionnaires), invitation of external 'experts' or 'resource persons' (including from other CRISCO partners), etc.;
 - o Action: launch, activate, enrich or adapt (new or existing) local integration initiatives with a focus on the theme for the reporting period (4 in total).
- Local activities reported in the CRISCO reports may be activities that take place in the context of other local initiatives but <u>must be relevant for and linked to CRISCO (logos...).</u>

Important note: CRISCO partners may take part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner), following rules that will be established for CRISCO (relevance, cost efficiency...).

Please submit (at crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be) each local process report and its annexes not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period (4 in total).

Reports must be written in English language.

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project.





















B. Local Process - General information for the reporting period n°... (4 in total)

- Name of the partner: City of Tartu (Estonia)
- Reporting period:
 - 1. From September 2017 to March 2018 (theme: "Cultural and social barriers to integration")
 - 2. From March 2018 to September 2018 (theme: "Prejudices and precarious individual situations")
 - 3. From September 2018 to January 2019 (theme: "Language barriers to integration")
 - 4. From January 2019 to August 2019 (theme: "Lack of (public) places for inclusion activities")
- Summary of the local process for the reporting period (max. 10 lines):

From September-December of 2017, Social Welfare and Health Care Department of Tartu City Government was looking for local partners for CRISCO project. The main in CRISCO project is the International House Tartu, with whom the original timetable for the whole project was put together and mapped out possible members of the stakeholder group. After that, we contacted people and organizations in Tartu who were introduced to the content of the project and our goals.

The first meeting of the Tartu stakeholders took place on January 22, 2018. There were 26 people registered at the meeting, 19 people attended the meeting. At the meeting, we presented the project schedule and goals. A summary of the meeting is attached to the attachment.

The main communication channels between Tartu stakeholder group is an e-mail list and our facebook group. We have also used the official web-page of the city of Tartu to inform people of CRISCO project (Link: http://www.tartu.ee/en/news/call-to-participate-international-integration-themed-project)

- What are the 3 things you consider successful and 3-unsuccessfull about the local process for the reporting period (max. 10 lines):

Success – We found a good main partner - the International House Tartu, through which we can easily reach different communities in Tartu;

The meeting of the Tartu stakeholders was very work-related and the discussion continued even after the meeting in our communication channels;

We have been able to map a good number of good community and integration practices.

The things what could we done better:

- 1) As a number of changes took place in our department in 2017, the start of the CRISCO project was somehow complicated and a number of initial thoughts had to be changed. This also resulted in the backlog of initial time schedule.
- 2) As project manager I would perhaps expect greater activity of stakeholders having a say in our communication channels, but as time goes on, the better the communication works.
- 3) We would have liked to involve even more people in our activities, but fortunately we have now created a good exchange of information between more organizations and community members. This was due to the late start of the information work.



















- How did the local process support the preparation and contribution to the forthcoming transnational thematic event (max. 5 lines):

The Tartu delegation for the 1st international meeting was formed from active members of the stakeholder group. The goal was to get together as a diverse group of people. In cooperation with the stakeholder, good community and integration practices were mapped in Tartu. The stakeholders were involved in thinking through both e-mail and our facebook group (https://www.facebook.com/groups/1632067310220653/).

- During this reporting period, representatives of the partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner):

0 YES	<u>0 NO</u>
Name(s)	of the person(s):
Name(s)	of the visited partner(s):
Motivatio	n, reason of the visit(s):

Summary of the mission(s):

NB! We have an agreement with the city of Vejle in Denmark, so that the delegation of Tartu can get acquainted with the Vejle's work in the field of integration and social cohesion. Study visit takes place between September and November 2018. We will deal with other study trip after the 1st International event in Bassano del Grappa. The our first plan is visit the city of Delft in the Netherlands.

- During this reporting period, representatives of another partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of the partner:

0 YES	<u>0 NO</u>
Name(s)	of the person(s):
Name(s)	of the visiting partner(s):
Motivatio	n, reason of the visit(s):
Summarv	of the mission(s):

NB! We are open to delegations from other cities and we are ready to host our partners as well as possible.

Annexes:

0 At least one signed attendance list per reporting period (obligatory)

0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit in the partner's local process (obligatory)





















0 Pictures (obligatory)

0 Evidence documents for the local process: invitations, meeting reports, local on-line and paper press, leaflets... (obligatory)

0 Other





















C. Local Process - Activities during the reporting period n°1

C.1. Activity 1

Name of the activity: FIRST MEETING OF TARTU CRISCO STAKEHOLDERS

Venue: St. Anthony's seminar room (Lutsu 3, Tartu)

Date: January 22, 2018 (time: 15:00-18:00) Number of participants: 19 (registered 26)

Attendance list:

1 YES: see annex

0 NO: reason

Representative(s) of another partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in this activity:

0 NO:

0 YES:

Type of activity:

- 1 Reflection (e.g. meeting, debate...):
- O Action (e.g. activity, course, social event...):
- 0 Mixed (e.g. workshop, in-field visit...):

Description of the activity (about 10 lines):

- 1) Presentation of the project (timeline, topics, objectives and expected results). The presentation was made by project coordinator and the representive of International House Tartu.
- 2) Groupwork. We splitted stakeholders in three different groups. The topic was Cultural and social barriers to integration in Tartu. We used Problem tree and Solution tree methods in the groupwork.
 - STEP 1 Brainstorming the barriers to integration
 - STEP 2 The Problem tree Positioning the problem
 - STEP 3 The Solution tree Managing the problem
 - **STEP 4 Discussion and conclusions**

Results and outcomes of the activity (max. 10 lines):

The three main issues highlighted by the groups:

- a) Prejudices / stereotypes
- b) Fear of diversity
- c) Difficulties with connecting and making friends

The work of all three groups shows that one of the main reasons behind the problems in integration is communication between different parties. The city, different communities and organizations should work more closely together. On the basis of the meeting, it can be argued that everyone is ready for it. You can read more from the summery of our discussions (added to annex).





















Relevance for and link to CRISCO (max. 5 lines):

We proceeded from the theme provided by CRISCO - Cultural and social barriers to integration. We tried to understand this according to Tartu's view. At the meeting, we also introduced the CRISCO project in general, its objectives, both internationally and locally. The meeting gave the stakeholders the possibility to meet, find exchange views and places of cooperation.

Other comments on the activity:

After the meeting, we continued the discussion by the e-mail and in the facebook group (https://www.facebook.com/groups/1632067310220653/). The number of people and organizations who have been involved in CRISCO project is bigger than 19, what was the number of participants of our first meeting.

Annexes for the activity:

O Signed attendance list

0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit at the activity

O Pictures (obligatory – at least 5 per activity)

0 Evidence documents for the activity: invitation, meeting report, local on-line and paper press, leaflet...

0 Other:

Please submit (at crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be) a draft report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 7 days after the activity, and a final report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project















