



Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union



## CRISCO Local Process - Reporting

### A. Local Process - Introduction

Local Panels of Stakeholders (LPS) are central in CRISCO.

- Each CRISCO participant sets up a motivated, active and well-balanced LPS (based on these proportions: 1/3 of citizens or citizens' organizations, 1/3 non-profit and civil society organizations, and 1/3 experts, officials and local authorities), that will meet regularly to **experiment and review (new or existing) local integration initiatives**.
- In each CRISCO partner, the LPS is composed of at least 18 formal members (**at least one signed attendance list per reporting period** is necessary – 4 in total), but may be widely enlarged by the informal participation of the wider local community.
- Building on their existing mechanisms of local democracy, each partner town will maintain existing or develop new instruments to involve local stakeholders and citizens in the project, especially the “hard-to-reach” groups.
- For this purpose, different participatory approaches, adapted to their specific conditions and needs, are expected to be maintained or developed by the CRISCO partners
- Local activities could be of two main types:
  - *Reflection*: debates, workshops, in-field visits, review of existing local initiatives (including interviews or questionnaires), invitation of external ‘experts’ or ‘resource persons’ (including from other CRISCO partners), etc.;
  - *Action*: launch, activate, enrich or adapt (new or existing) local integration initiatives with a focus on the theme for the reporting period (4 in total).
- **Local activities reported in the CRISCO reports may be activities that take place in the context of other local initiatives but must be relevant for and linked to CRISCO (logos...).**

Important note: CRISCO partners may take part as ‘experts’, ‘external resource persons’ or ‘interested persons’ in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner), following rules that will be established for CRISCO (relevance, cost efficiency...).

**Please submit (at [crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be](mailto:crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be)) each local process report and its annexes not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period (4 in total).**

**Reports must be written in English language.**

**Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project.**



VIFIN



NCO  
NECTO

RÉZEKNES  
NOVADS



Tartu  
city of good thoughts



Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union



### **B. Local Process - General information for the reporting period n°1 (4 in total)**

- Name of the partner: **Bassano del Grappa**
- Reporting period:
  1. From September 2017 to March 2018 (theme: “Cultural and social barriers to integration”)
  2. From March 2018 to September 2018 (theme: “Prejudices and precarious individual situations”)
  3. From September 2018 to January 2019 (theme: “Language barriers to integration”)
  4. From January 2019 to August 2019 (theme: “Lack of (public) places for inclusion activities”)
- **Summary of the local process for the reporting period (max. 10 lines):**

During the period:

We inserted in our website a description of the project <http://www.bassanodelgrappa.gov.it/Comunicazione/Ultime-Notizie/Bassano-ancora-protagonista-in-Europa-vinto-un-bando-europeo-sulle-buone-pratiche-di-inclusione-sociale>

We launched a public call, looking for citizens and associations to be involved in the project <http://www.operaestate.it/operaestate-festival/cercasi-partecipanti-per-crisco/>

We made a press conference to communicate and promote the project

We selected the candidates who applied received.

We had two meetings with the local panel group, one about the topic “Cultural and social barriers to integration” and the other about logistical and organisational aspects.

We created a secret Facebook group. <https://www.facebook.com/groups/1854847941215627/>

We sent out the invitations for the round table discussions and communicated in the social media the initiative

We sent a newsletter to communicate the transnational meeting and to promote the evening events open to the public

We created the facebook event <https://www.facebook.com/events/149729635703887/>

We sent a press release to promote the activities of the transnational meeting.

### **What are the 3 things you consider successful and 3-unsuccessful about the local process for the reporting period (max. 10 lines):**

#### **Success**

- excellent selection of applications with the creation of a very motivated, young and pro-active group.
- we created conditions that made possible situations of dialogue between the group's members: the first time with a presentation's work in pairs, the second with 15 minutes of group dance
- we actively involved the group in the practical organisation of the international meeting



VIFIN



N&O  
NECTO

RÉZEKNES  
NOVADS



Tartu  
city of good thoughts



Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union



Unsuccessful

- Time shortage ; there was not enough time between the call for applicants and the preparation of the first international meeting to deepen the activities with the selected group of participants.
- The identification of the final objectives of the project are not completely clear. “what should we produce?”

**How did the local process support the preparation and contribution to the forthcoming transnational thematic event (max. 5 lines):**

The local group actively contributed to the organisation of the meeting; some members have been given tasks; together we decided a few key words on which we would like to lead the reflection of Crisco from the point of view of citizens session.

During this reporting period, representatives of the partner have taken part as ‘experts’, ‘external resource persons’ or ‘interested persons’ in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner):

YES  NO

Name(s) of the person(s): .....

Name(s) of the visited partner(s): .....

Motivation, reason of the visit(s):

Summary of the mission(s):

During this reporting period, representatives of another partner have taken part as ‘experts’, ‘external resource persons’ or ‘interested persons’ in the local process of the partner:

YES  NO

Name(s) of the person(s): .....

Name(s) of the visiting partner(s): .....

Motivation, reason of the visit(s):

Summary of the mission(s):

Annexes:

At least one signed attendance list per reporting period (obligatory)

Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit in the partner’s local process (obligatory)

Pictures (obligatory)

Evidence documents for the local process: invitations, meeting reports, local on-line and paper press, leaflets... (obligatory)

Other.....

.....



VIFIN





Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union



# CRISCO

Crossroad of the Regions - fostering involvement of  
all citizens in local life to Improve Social COhesion

## C. Local Process – Activities during the reporting period n°...

### C.1. Activity 1

Name of the activity: Crisco local panel meeting.....

Venue: .Bassano del Grappa.....

Date: ...22/2/2018 and 7/3/2018.....

Number of participants: .18 and .18.....

Attendance list:

YES: see annex (but incomplete)

NO: reason .....

Representative(s) of another partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in this activity:

NO:

YES:

Name(s) of the person(s): .....

Motivation, reason of the visit(s): .....

Type of activity:

Reflection (e.g. meeting, debate...): .....

Action (e.g. activity, course, social event...): .....

Mixed (e.g. workshop, in-field visit...): .....

### Description of the activity (about 10 lines):

- welcoming and presentation of the agenda of the day
- work in pairs: individual presentations
- each person presented their partner of the pairs
- introduction of the project, documents, functioning/ travel and journeys, crisco's agenda, dates, long-term objectives
- Work in group about three topics:
  - A group - social barriers
  - B group - cultural barriers
  - C group – inclusion/integration
- Plenary restitution
- organizational meeting



VIFIN





Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union



### Results and outcomes of the activity (max. 10 lines):

The group thought about what the expression "social and cultural barriers" means. Most of the participants agreed in defining generically "social and cultural barriers" as obstacles of different nature and intensity, that preclude or prejudice inclusion and feeling part of a group, producing in the rejected/excluded a deep sense of inadequacy and discomfort.

Then, the group agreed in saying that it is not easy to distinguish between social and cultural barriers, because often at the origin of non inclusive social behaviors, there are not only a close and not flexible psychological attitude, but also a strict social culture, not very open and available to cope with the fear of the others/differents.

Consequently the group has identified some macro areas of possible causes/effects of "social barriers", by making a list in order of importance:

1 Racism: the skin's colour affects the inclusion and the membership of a group

2 Lack of access to information: "irresponsibility"/inadequacy of the media, that often mystify and distort information, providing a partial and basic representation of vulnerable categories, reinforcing stereotypes and prejudices, at the expense of extended and complete information. In this mystification of information, images (videos and photographs) play a fundamental role.

3 Economic class: lack of economic resources, which affects the access to information, structures and services.

4 Psycho- physical diseases (disabling disease, handicap, psycho-physical disorders)

5 Language

6 Age

7 Architectural barriers: non accessibility of structures and/or public infrastructures.

Then, by contrast, the group mentioned two active projects in our territory, considered effective and positive examples of inclusive practices:

- The Dance Well project, that through dance and in total gratuity for the participants, has succeeded to involve in an inclusive way the community of the territory, regardless of age, sex, gender, race, language and psycho-physical diseases.
- The SpaziComuni project that has tried to create opportunities for meeting and sharing for young people at risk of school drop-out, organizing activities in places otherwise designated for urban decay.

### Relevance for and link to CRISCO (max. 5 lines):

The local panel is composed by people young in age, motivated and enlightened with positive energy. It has already become a "resource". In this days some key questions are emerging, such as: what





Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union



# CRISCO

Crossroad of the Regions - fostering involvement of  
all citizens in local life to Improve Social COhesion

helps to make us “welcoming” to others? Which things feed the fear of the different?

Annexes for the activity:

0 Signed attendance list

0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit at the activity

0 Pictures (obligatory – at least 5 per activity)

0 Evidence documents for the activity: invitation, meeting report, local on-line and paper press, leaflet...

0 Other:

.....

**Please submit (at [crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be](mailto:crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be)) a draft report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 7 days after the activity, and a final report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period**

**Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project**



VIFIN



NEGO  
NESTO

RÉZEKNES  
NOVADS



Tartu  
city of good thoughts



Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union

