



CRISCO Local Process - Reporting

A. Local Process - Introduction

Local Panels of Stakeholders (LPS) are central in CRISCO.

- Each CRISCO participant sets up a motivated, active and well-balanced LPS (based on these proportions: 1/3 of citizens or citizens' organizations, 1/3 non-profit and civil society organizations, and 1/3 experts, officials and local authorities), that will meet regularly to experiment and review (new or existing) local integration initiatives.
- In each CRISCO partner, the LPS is composed of at least 18 formal members (at least one signed attendance list per reporting periodis necessary – 4 in total), but may be widely enlarged by the informal participation of the wider local community.
- Building on their existing mechanisms of local democracy, each partner town will maintain
 existing or develop new instruments to involve local stakeholders and citizens in the project,
 especially the "hard-to-reach" groups.
- For this purpose, different participatory approaches, adapted to their specific conditions and needs, are expected to be maintained or developed by the CRISCO partners
- Local activities could be of two main types:
 - Reflection: debates, workshops, in-field visits, review of existing local initiatives (including interviews or questionnaires), invitation of external 'experts' or 'resource persons' (including from other CRISCO partners), etc.;
 - o Action: launch, activate, enrich or adapt (new or existing) local integration initiatives with a focus on the theme for the reporting period (4 in total).
- Local activities reported in the CRISCO reports may be activities that take place in the context of other local initiatives but <u>must be relevant for and linked to CRISCO (logos...).</u>

Important note: CRISCO partners may take part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner), following rules that will be established for CRISCO (relevance, cost efficiency...).

Please submit (at<u>crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be</u>) each local process report and its annexes not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period (4 in total).

Reports must be written in English language.

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project.





















B. Local Process - General information for the reporting period n°2(4 in total)

Name of the partner: ALDA

Reporting period:

2.From March 2018 to September 2018 (theme: "Prejudices and precarious individual situations")

- Summary of the local process for the reporting period(max. 10 lines):

During the period we have gathered the local panel of stakeholders during the second local panel meeting. For this we had to prepare the local meeting by sending three different mails of invitation. The invitations were sent to previous participants of the first local panel of stakeholders meeting in March 2018. The invitations included themes to think about before the meeting and to gather thoughts and experiences to share. The local panel meeting itself lasted for about 2 hours and a half. Then ALDA sent an,email to participants to thank them for their involvement in the project and to summarize the ideas exchanged.

In parallel of the organization and implementation of the local panel of stakeholders' meeting, ALDA has been widely disseminating invitations to local stakeholders, civil society organisations and citizens for the second transnational meeting in Strasbourg.

- What are the 3 things you consider successful and 3-unsuccessfull about the local process for the reporting period(max. 10 lines):

Successful side of the local process for this reporting period would be firstly the wide dissemination that ALDA made for the CRISCO project (local event and transnational meeting at the end of September). There was a lot of interest shown by local stakeholders for the project and its process of experience sharing and best practices common elaboration. After the local panel meeting, participants said they learned a lot and thought the workshop was well elaborated.

On the unsuccessful side we would regret that less participants came for the second local panel meeting than for the first. The fact that in the first panel meeting local associations could present their work and therefore brought usual supporters to the meeting, whereas in the second one the associations presented were younger and therefore less popular, had implications on the participation rate. So the next local panel meeting should find a balance between presenting already-known associations and more innovative or new ones, in order to gather more participants. Finally, it could be noted that participants were generally persons who were already informed or aware about the issues raised in the workshop, and who therefore had already strong opinions about these issues. Next time, we would like to gather more neutral participants as well and to reach persons who are not interested as of now in these topics.





















- How did the local process support the preparation and contribution to the forthcoming transnational thematic event (max. 5 lines):

First, the local process contributed to the smooth functioning of the transnational event by making the project CRISCO known to more local stakeholders and citizens, thus gathering more participants for the public conference of the transnational event. Then, the discussions during the local panel meeting and workshop were very vivid and fostered among the participants the idea that more discussions would be interesting and therefore more encounters with stakeholders interested in the topics addressed by the project. It therefore fostered the will to meet and share experiences and best practices with a wider audience and network in the near future.

 During this reporting period, representatives of the partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner):

0 YES X NO

- During this reporting period, representatives of another partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of the partner:

0 YES X NO

Annexes:

X At least one signed attendance list per reporting period (obligatory)

0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit in thepartner's local process (obligatory) XPictures (obligatory)

XEvidence documents for the local process: invitations, meeting reports, local on-line and paper press, leaflets... (obligatory)

0 Other.....





















C. Local Process – Activities during the reporting period n°2

C.1. Activity 1

| Name of the activity: Local panel meeting -Prejudices and precarious individual situations |
|---|
| Venue: Maison des Associations, Strasbourg |
| Date: 11/09/2018 |
| Number of participants: |
| Attendance list: |
| X YES: see annex |
| 0 NO: reason |
| Representative(s) of another partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or |
| 'interested persons' in this activity: |
| X NO: |
| Type of activity: |
| 0 Reflection (e.g. meeting, debate): |
| O Action (e.g. activity, course, social event): |
| X Mixed (e.g. workshop, in-field visit): Workshop |

Description of the activity (about 10 lines):

First part of the meeting was devoted to a presentation of the CRISCO project for newcomers and of activities and outcomes of the transnational event in Bassano.

ALDA team then proposed an interactive game "Change your glasses" that we adapted to the context. All participants were divided into 3 groups, each group representing individuals that often are the subject of prejudices. Group 1 had to imagine and describe how an asylum seeker, who just arrived to Strasbourg and had 21 days to registertheir arrival at the prefecture would act (without language skills nor contacts...). Group 2 had to describe a typical day of a disabled student (e.g. getting to the university and following classes...). Group 3 had to imagine that they are a homeless person who is also a father or a mother of children living at home with the second parent. (what do they do to get to see their children? Who do they ask for help? How they prepare themselves for the meeting?).

After the activity, participants could exchange about their experience trying to visualize themselves as an asylum seeker, a disabled student or a homeless parent. Finally, local associations presented their work relating to these precarious individual situations and gave their best practices to address prejudices.





















Results and outcomes of the activity (max. 10 lines):

After the activity, we asked the participants whether they found the activity difficult or not. In our case, about half of the respondents agreed it was difficult and another half found it relatively easy. We could observe that people who are not in direct contact with the persons mentioned in the activity either found it difficult to imagine how to act in their shoes, or they found it easy but then had a very optimistic perception of the reality.

Globally, all participants agreed that it is not easy for marginalized people to claim their rights in every-day life. Moreover, a lot of participants shared with us their concern about how dependent from others those people are even in a very little and easy (at first sight) task. Furthermore, the necessity to find people who are alike, to be able to exchange in the same language or share experiences, was stressed many times by participants, highlighting one of CRISCO's main topic (lack of inclusion and/or community-based social interactions).

Relevance for and link to CRISCO (max. 5 lines):

This activity has allowed the participants to share thoughts, information and experiences regarding the topic of prejudices and precarious individual situations applied to the local context of Strasbourg and France in general. At the same time, the meetinggave relevant thoughts for the coming transnational meeting in the end of the month.

Other comments on the activity:

None.

Annexes for the activity:

XSigned attendance list

- 0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit at the activity
- X Pictures (obligatory at least 5 per activity)
- X Evidence documents for the activity: invitation, meeting report, local on-line and paper press, leaflet...
- 0 Other:

Please submit (atcrisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be) a draft report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 7 days after the activity, and a final report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project















