

# CRISCO

## Local Process - Reporting

### A. Local Process - Introduction

Local Panels of Stakeholders (LPS) are central in CRISCO.

- Each CRISCO participant sets up a motivated, active and well-balanced LPS (based on these proportions: 1/3 of citizens or citizens' organizations, 1/3 non-profit and civil society organizations, and 1/3 experts, officials and local authorities), that will meet regularly to **experiment and review (new or existing) local integration initiatives**.
- In each CRISCO partner, the LPS is composed of at least 18 formal members (**at least one signed attendance list per reporting period** is necessary – 4 in total), but may be widely enlarged by the informal participation of the wider local community.
- Building on their existing mechanisms of local democracy, each partner town will maintain existing or develop new instruments to involve local stakeholders and citizens in the project, especially the “hard-to-reach” groups.
- For this purpose, different participatory approaches, adapted to their specific conditions and needs, are expected to be maintained or developed by the CRISCO partners
- Local activities could be of two main types:
  - *Reflection*: debates, workshops, in-field visits, review of existing local initiatives (including interviews or questionnaires), invitation of external ‘experts’ or ‘resource persons’ (including from other CRISCO partners), etc.;
  - *Action*: launch, activate, enrich or adapt (new or existing) local integration initiatives with a focus on the theme for the reporting period (4 in total).
- **Local activities reported in the CRISCO reports may be activities that take place in the context of other local initiatives but must be relevant for and linked to CRISCO (logos...).**

Important note: CRISCO partners may take part as ‘experts’, ‘external resource persons’ or ‘interested persons’ in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner), following rules that will be established for CRISCO (relevance, cost efficiency...).

Please submit (at [crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be](mailto:crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be)) each local process report and its annexes not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period (4 in total).

Reports must be written in English language.

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project.

## **B. Local Process - General information for the reporting period n°2 (4 in total)**

- Name of the partner: Comune di Bassano del Grappa
- Reporting period:
  1. From September 2017 to March 2018 (theme: “Cultural and social barriers to integration”)
  2. **From March 2018 to September 2018** (theme: “ Prejudices and precarious individual situations”)
  3. From September 2018 to January 2019 (theme: “Language barriers to integration”)
  4. From January 2019 to August 2019 (theme: “Lack of (public) places for inclusion activities”)
- Summary of the local process for the reporting period (max. 10 lines):

During the reporting period we met several times – even on the occasion of informal gatherings – with the local panel:

May 15<sup>th</sup>: Talk with Roberto Casarotto about the issue “inclusion”, sharing opinions and knowledge (See attached report)

June 10<sup>th</sup>: Some local panellists took part in the Dance Well Class at Arte Sella together with some asylum-seekers of Associazione Casa a Colorionlus(See attached picture)

June 26<sup>th</sup>: We met to to prepare the Strasbourg delegation and nominate participants to the lateral missions

June 27<sup>th</sup>: The group organized a flash-mob in the context of the initiative #EuropeanSolidarity #ChangeDublin which invited citizens to place paper boats in public spaces, take pictures of them and sharing them via Internet using the hashtag #ChangeDublin in order to call for European solidarity (#EuropeanSolidarity), change Dublin (#ChangeDublin) and open up legal and safe ways for migrants to enter the EU

September 13<sup>th</sup>: Outreach meeting on the issue of “vulnerability” (See attached report)

- What are the 3 things you consider successful and 3-unsuccessfull about the local process for the reporting period (max. 10 lines):

Achievements:

1. A proactive group which puts forward proposals for various initiatives whose objective is to achieve “inclusion” through artistic/performing activities
2. The establishment of an informal and close relationship among the group members even outside CRISCO Project
3. Some group participants take now part in activities – promoted by the municipality of Bassano del Grappa – in which they have never participated before

Failures:

1. We cannot always document our activities in a proper way
2. A couple of people selected to participate in CRISCO were passive participants. We are now involving two new participants

- How did the local process support the preparation and contribution to the forthcoming transnational thematic event (max. 5 lines):

Starting from the Strasbourg meeting central theme “Prejudices and precarious individual situations”, we pinpointed the keyword “vulnerability”. We therefore decided to better investigate this concept through an outreach meeting with a Lecturer of *Università degli Studi di Verona* (University of Verona). We are going to share the arisen issues in Strasbourg.

- 
- During this reporting period, representatives of the partner have taken part as ‘experts’, ‘external resource persons’ or ‘interested persons’ in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner):

~~0~~ YES    0 NO

Name(s) of the person(s): .....

Name(s) of the visited partner(s): .....

Motivation, reason of the visit(s):

Summary of the mission(s):

- During this reporting period, representatives of another partner have taken part as ‘experts’, ‘external resource persons’ or ‘interested persons’ in the local process of the partner:

~~0~~ YES    0 NO

Name(s) of the person(s): .....

Name(s) of the visiting partner(s): .....

Motivation, reason of the visit(s):

Summary of the mission(s):

Annexes:

- 0 At least one signed attendance list per reporting period (obligatory)
- 0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit in the partner’s local process (obligatory)
- 0 Pictures (obligatory)
- 0 Evidence documents for the local process: invitations, meeting reports, local on-line and paper press, leaflets... (obligatory)
- 0 Other.....

C.1. Activity 1

Name of the activity: Meeting with Roberto Casarotto - Dance activist and expert in European project in Creative Europe

Venue: Operaestate Festival Office

Date: 15/05/2018

Number of participants: .18.....

Attendance list:

YES: see annex

NO: reason .....

Representative(s) of another partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in this activity:

NO:

YES:

Name(s) of the person(s): .....

Motivation, reason of the visit(s): .....

Type of activity:

Reflection (e.g. meeting, debate...): .....

Action (e.g. activity, course, social event...): .....

Mixed (e.g. workshop, in-field visit...): .....

Description of the activity (about 10 lines):

- Brainstorming and meeting with Roberto Casarotto, dance activist. The start point was "In the context of CRISCO Project, what can Bassano do foster involvement of all citizens in local life to improve social cohesion and mutual understanding?"

Results and outcomes of the activity (max. 10 lines):

If organizations/institutions create the context to bring people – professionals, enthusiasts but also occasional spectators – together, connections among different groups will easier to build, resulting in the achievement of a closer community, where stories and experiences can be shared. To reach this goals, performers should be brought in close contact with citizens: they should have the chance to establish a direct contact with them (Eg. Face-to-face talks, eye contact etc.). In other words, direct communication plays a vital role in creating opportunities of aggregation

Relevance for and link to CRISCO (max. 5 lines):

We debated about how we can describe our "activism"



Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union



Other comments on the activity:

Annexes for the activity:

- Signed attendance list
- Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit at the activity
- Pictures (obligatory – at least 5 per activity)
- Evidence documents for the activity: invitation, meeting report, local on-line and paper press, leaflet...
- Other: .....

**Please submit ([atcrisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be](mailto:atcrisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be)) a draft report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 7 days after the activity, and a final report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period**

**Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project**



VIFIN

Gemeente Delft



NCO MECTO

RĒZĒKNES NOVADS



Tartu  
city of good thoughts

## C.2. Activity 2

Name of the activity: Local panel meeting

Venue: Villa San Giuseppe – Bassano .....

Date: .26/06/2018.....

Number of participants: ..12.....

Attendance list:

0 YES: see annex

0 NO: reason .....

Representative(s) of another partner have taken part as ‘experts’, ‘external resource persons’ or ‘interested persons’ in this activity:

1 NO:

~~1~~ YES:

— Name(s) of the person(s): .....

— Motivation, reason of the visit(s): .....

Type of activity:

Reflection (e.g. meeting, debate...): .....

Action (e.g. activity, course, social event...): .....

Mixed (e.g. workshop, in-field visit...): .....

Description of the activity (max. 10 lines):

Gathering focused on the Strasbourg meeting central theme “Prejudices and precarious individual situations”. During the gathering we highlighted the issue of “vulnerability” as a key concept which we would like to explore in greater depth. Furthermore, we formed the participating delegation to the Strasbourg meeting and we pinpointed the city we would like to visit for the bilateral meeting.

Results and outcomes of the activity (max. 10 lines):

Organization of a meeting with Massimo Prearo, Lecturer at *Università degli Studi di Verona* (University of Verona) who has been investigating the issue of “vulnerability” for a long time. We pinpointed TARTU as a significant experience we would like to live and investigate.

Relevance for and link to CRISCO (max. 5 lines):

Vulnerability is a recurrent issue which is mainly related to social groups excluded from the active participation in the community life. The group came up with the idea of organizing a talk with Massimo Prearo.



Other comments on the activity:

Annexes for the activity:

0 Signed attendance list

~~0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit at the activity~~

0 Pictures (obligatory – at least 5 per activity)

0 Evidence documents for the activity: invitation, meeting report, local on-line and paper press, leaflet...

**Please submit (at [crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be](mailto:crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be)) a draft report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 7 days after the activity, and a final report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period**

**Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project**



### C.3. Activity 3

Name of the activity: Local panel meeting

Venue: Bassano del Grappa

Date: .04/09/2018

Number of participants: .....

Attendance list:

0 YES: ~~see annex~~

0 NO: reason : Informal and organizational gathering to prepare the round-table on  
September 13th

Representative(s) of another partner have taken part as ‘experts’, ‘external resource persons’ or  
‘interested persons’ in this activity:

2 NO:

2 YES:

Name(s) of the person(s): .....

Motivation, reason of the visit(s): .....

Type of activity:

2 Reflection (e.g. meeting, debate...): .....

2 Action (e.g. activity, course, social event...): .....

2 Mixed (e.g. workshop, in-field visit...): .....

Description of the activity (max. 10 lines):

1. An in-depth analysis of the topic discussed in the forthcoming CRISCO meeting in  
Strasbourg
2. The organization of “Understanding vulnerabilities”, a meeting which will be hold on  
September 13<sup>th</sup> 2018 by Massimo Prearo, Lecturer at Università degli Studi di Verona

Results and outcomes of the activity (max. 10 lines):

Organization of the round-table on September 13<sup>th</sup>

It is important to underline that this meeting is not meant to be a lecture, but an opportunity to  
establish an active dialogue. Moreover, Italian CRISCO participants decided to make the meeting  
with Massimo Prearo open to other people from local community.

Relevance for and link to CRISCO (max. 5 lines):

During today’s meeting, participants reflected on the central theme of the forthcoming CRISCO  
meeting in Strasbourg: “Prejudices and precarious individual situation”. Italian CRISCO participants  
decided to prepare themselves for Strasbourg, organizing a discussion meeting on the issue of  
vulnerability with Lecturer Massimo Prearo who has been researching on the topic for years



Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union



Other comments on the activity:

Annexes for the activity:

~~0 Signed attendance list~~

~~0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit at the activity~~

0 Pictures (obligatory – at least 5 per activity)

0 Evidence documents for the activity: invitation, meeting report, local on-line and paper press, leaflet...

**Please submit (at [crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be](mailto:crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be)) a draft report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 7 days after the activity, and a final report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period**

**Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project**



VIFIN

Gemeente Delft



NGO MECTO

RĒZEKNES NOVADS



Tartu  
city of good thoughts



C.4. Activity 4

Name of the activity: Round table discussion “Understanding Vulnerability” with Massimo Prearo

Venue: Urban Center – Bassano del Grappa .....

Date: .13/09/2018

Number of participants: ..36.....

Attendance list:

**YES: see annex**

NO: reason

Representative(s) of another partner have taken part as ‘experts’, ‘external resource persons’ or ‘interested persons’ in this activity:

**NO:**

YES:

Name(s) of the person(s): .....

Motivation, reason of the visit(s): .....

Type of activity:

**Reflection (e.g. meeting, debate...):** .....

~~Action (e.g. activity, course, social event...):~~ .....

~~Mixed (e.g. workshop, in field visit...):~~ .....

Description of the activity (max. 10 lines):

The event’s aim was to tackle the topic of vulnerability. The event has been opened to participation to many other members of Bassano’s society, mainly social workers, with the intent to broaden CRISCO Bassano’s views on what needs to be done in the local context.

Results and outcomes of the activity (max. 10 lines):

This roundtable produced many interesting reflections and key assumption that could lead to a better understanding of vulnerability and a better design of social policies. Above all, the definition of vulnerability was the starting point of an enriching conversation about caring, involving and opening up to other people.

Relevance for and link to CRISCO (max. 5 lines):

We re-discussed the idea of vulnerability: how do we deal with it? How do we accept and embrace our own vulnerability and the other’s one? How does the Public Policy create vulnerability? We also discussed the possible ways of thinking public policies taking into account what has been concluded on the topic of vulnerability.

We then closed the event with an invitation to work together on the topic, crossing our knowledges and strengths – as most of the participants are social workers - on what are considered to be the “vulnerable categories” in our society, promising ourselves to keep in mind the interesting outcomes of this discussion.

Other comments on the activity:*please see the report*



Co-funded by the  
Europe for Citizens Programme  
of the European Union



Annexes for the activity:

**0 Signed attendance list**

0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit at the activity

**0 Pictures (obligatory – at least 5 per activity)**

**0 Evidence documents for the activity: invitation, meeting report, local on-line and paper press, leaflet...**

Please submit ([at crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be](mailto:crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be)) a draft report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 7 days after the activity, and a final report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project



VIFIN

Gemeente Delft



NCO MECTO

RĒZEKNES NOVADS



Tartu  
city of good thoughts