



# CRISCO Local Process - Reporting

# A. Local Process - Introduction

Local Panels of Stakeholders (LPS) are central in CRISCO.

- Each CRISCO participant sets up a motivated, active and well-balanced LPS (based on these proportions: 1/3 of citizens or citizens' organizations, 1/3 non-profit and civil society organizations, and 1/3 experts, officials and local authorities), that will meet regularly to experiment and review (new or existing) local integration initiatives.
- In each CRISCO partner, the LPS is composed of at least 18 formal members (at least one signed attendance list per reporting periodis necessary 4 in total), but may be widely enlarged by the informal participation of the wider local community.
- Building on their existing mechanisms of local democracy, each partner town will maintain existing or develop new instruments to involve local stakeholders and citizens in the project, especially the "hard-to-reach" groups.
- For this purpose, different participatory approaches, adapted to their specific conditions and needs, are expected to be maintained or developed by the CRISCO partners
- Local activities could be of two main types:
  - *Reflection*: debates, workshops, in-field visits, review of existing local initiatives (including interviews or questionnaires), invitation of external 'experts' or 'resource persons' (including from other CRISCO partners), etc.;
  - *Action*: launch, activate, enrich or adapt (new or existing) local integration initiatives with a focus on the theme for the reporting period (4 in total).
- Local activities reported in the CRISCO reports may be activities that take place in the context of other local initiatives but <u>must be relevant for and linked to CRISCO (logos...).</u>

Important note: CRISCO partners may take part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner), following rules that will be established for CRISCO (relevance, cost efficiency...).

Please submit (at<u>crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be</u>) each local process report and its annexes not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period (4 in total).

## Reports must be written in English language.

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project.







## B. Local Process - General information for the reporting period n°.2..(4 in total)

- Name of the partner: Jonava district municipality
- Reporting period:
  - 1. From September 2017 to March 2018 (theme: "Cultural and social barriers to integration")
  - 2. From March 2018 to September 2018 (theme: "Prejudices and precarious individual situations")
  - 3. From September 2018 to January 2019 (theme: "Language barriers to integration")
  - 4. From January 2019 to August 2019 (theme: "Lack of (public) places for inclusion activities")
- Summary of the local process for the reporting period(max. 10 lines):
  - In April Jonava district municipality organized one preparatory project meeting with district authorities;
  - Prepared for the first training
  - Participants for the training were selected
  - Sent invitation to the seminar
  - About the coming training was publicized in the local press
  - In April organized one training with the theme "Prejudices and precarious individual situations".
  - Sent a press release to promote the activities of the training and project.
  - The information about the trainingwas in local media.
  - Duration one day.
- What are the 3 things you consider successful and 3-unsuccessfull about the local process for the reporting period (max. 10 lines):

## Success:

- The preparation of training leaders was excellent and very motivated
- The participation in the training was good. The themes discussed was interesting for all participants
- The participants could talk free and easy about common themes; were created conditions for possible situations of communication in group and dialogue between the divided small groups

### Unsuccessful:

- Time shortage: it was so interesting, situations in various ways, and involving that time passed without notice
- Number of participants; discussions between groups in that case would be even more various, sharing experience would be more colourful if the number of participants would be higher
- It would be more effective if it were involved more stakeholders, key persons







How did the local process support the preparation and contribution to the forthcoming transnational thematic event (max. 5 lines):

The local group actively organized the training; every person had a task for the preparation to the training implementation (lecturers searching, topics relevant to training, event progress, searching and selection of participants, motivation, publicity, etc.); completely clearing as it should look like in the whole; selected the most suitable candidates (lectures for the training) during the selection process;

- During this reporting period, representatives of the partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner):

0 YES 0 NO
Name(s) of the person(s):
Name(s) of the visited partner(s):
Motivation, reason of the visit(s):
Summary of the mission(s):

- During this reporting period, representatives of another partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of the partner:

0 YES	0 NO	
Name(s) of the person(s):		
Name(s)	of the visiting partner(s):	
Motivatio	n, reason of the visit(s):	
Summary of the mission(s):		

### Annexes:

x At least one signed attendance list per reporting period (obligatory)

0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit in thepartner's local process (obligatory) X Pictures (obligatory)

X Evidence documents for the local process: invitations, meeting reports, local on-line and paper press, leaflets... (obligatory)

0 Other.....







# C. Local Process – Activities during the reporting period n°...

Name of the activity: "Prejudices and precarious individual situations" Venue: Žeimių street 13, *Jonava* Date: *2018-04-27* Number of participants: *28* Attendance list: **0 YES:** see annex 0 NO: reason .....

Representative(s) of another partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in this activity:

- 0 NO:
- 0 YES:

Name(s) of the person(s): ..... Motivation, reason of the visit(s): .....

Type of activity:

1Reflection (e.g. meeting, debate...): ....discussion, topics, themes analysis

- 0 Action (e.g. activity, course, social event...):
- 1 Mixed (e.g. workshop, in-field visit...):workshop, working in pairs,

Description of the activity (about 10 lines):

- The training started in the morning and ended after afternoon.
- Welcoming and Introduction by training lectures : President of the Social Innovation Fund Audroné Kiseliené and Director Liudmila Mecajeva
- Presentation of the Agenda of the day, overview of the discussable topics
- *Introduction of the training theme, topics, documents,* citizens' rights documents and laws and functionality of them, adaptation and implementation in our country, comparative analysis of other countries, other counties good and bad practice, "know-how", discussion topics
- Sharing the experience of training participants
- Discussions between participants, between participants and training lectures
- Questions-answers
- Work in groups about topics
- Summary of training topics
- Presentation of visions
- Sharing the project to a larger group by publicity, social media

Results and outcomes of the activity (max. 10 lines):

In summary just participants new, fresh perception of the theme of training (problem), getting to know on this theme, the importance of seeing the problem from another angle, otherwise, expend of understanding of theme that:







Equal opportunities are the realization of human rights regardless of gender, race, nationality, nationality, language, origin, social status, religion, beliefs or views, age, sexual orientation, disability, ethnicity and religion. Natural human rights are governed by international human and citizens' rights documents and laws of the Republic of Lithuania. During the course of the training, the concepts of "indirect discrimination", "direct discrimination", "superstitions" and "stereotypes" were analyzed, discussions were held on combating discrimination, stereotypes and superstitions in the area of equal opportunities for women and men, and ensuring equal opportunities in tackling discrimination, stereotypes and superstition.

During the courses training was analyzed and received a fresh view and deep understanding of:

The Republic of Lithuania Anti-Discrimination Laws; The Law on Equal Opportunities for Women and Men; Law on Equal Opportunities; Analysis of the concepts of "discrimination", "superstitions", "stereotypes"; Discriminatory cases regarding equal opportunities for women and men; Discussion on "How to overcome discrimination, stereotypes and prejudices in the field of equal opportunities for women and men"; Equal opportunities for all - discrimination on other grounds: gender, race, nationality, nationality, language, origin, social status, belief or attitudes, age, sexual orientation, disability, ethnicity, religion; Debate on "Making Equal Opportunities for Everyone - Fighting Discrimination, Stereotypes and Superstition;

Relevance for and link to CRISCO (max. 5 lines):

- The Jonava Training Agenda and topics related to social cohesion, motivated and with positive energy
- Directing and promoting Jonava district municipality and citizens to work towards achieving social goals
- The courses training made us start our reflections on social cohesion
- The training gave relevant thoughts for the coming transnational meeting

Other comments on the activity: Nothing

Annexes for the activity:

0 Signed attendance list

0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit at the activity

- 0 Pictures (obligatory at least 5 per activity)
- 0 Evidence documents for the activity: invitation, meeting report, local on-line and paper press, leaflet...

0 Other: .....

Please submit (at<u>crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be</u>) a draft report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 7 days after the activity, and a final report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project

