



CRISCO Local Process - Reporting

A. Local Process - Introduction

Local Panels of Stakeholders (LPS) are central in CRISCO.

- Each CRISCO participant sets up a motivated, active and well-balanced LPS (based on these proportions: 1/3 of citizens or citizens' organizations, 1/3 non-profit and civil society organizations, and 1/3 experts, officials and local authorities), that will meet regularly to experiment and review (new or existing) local integration initiatives.
- In each CRISCO partner, the LPS is composed of at least 18 formal members (at least one signed attendance list per reporting period is necessary 4 in total), but may be widely enlarged by the informal participation of the wider local community.
- Building on their existing mechanisms of local democracy, each partner town will maintain existing or develop new instruments to involve local stakeholders and citizens in the project, especially the "hard-to-reach" groups.
- For this purpose, different participatory approaches, adapted to their specific conditions and needs, are expected to be maintained or developed by the CRISCO partners
- Local activities could be of two main types:
 - Reflection: debates, workshops, in-field visits, review of existing local initiatives (including interviews or questionnaires), invitation of external 'experts' or 'resource persons' (including from other CRISCO partners), etc.;
 - o Action: launch, activate, enrich or adapt (new or existing) local integration initiatives with a focus on the theme for the reporting period (4 in total).
- Local activities reported in the CRISCO reports may be activities that take place in the context of other local initiatives but <u>must be relevant for and linked to CRISCO (logos...).</u>

Important note: CRISCO partners may take part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of another partner (2 missions per CRISCO partner), following rules that will be established for CRISCO (relevance, cost efficiency...).

Please submit (at crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be) each local process report and its annexes not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period (4 in total).

Reports must be written in English language.

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project.





















B. Local Process - General information for the reporting period n°3 (4 in total)

- Name of the partner: Tartu, Estonia
- Reporting period:
 - 3. From September 2018 to January 2019 (theme: "Language barriers to integration")
- Summary of the local process for the reporting period (max. 10 lines): Apparently the third period was the most difficult because of the change of the project coordinator in Tartu. The local event were planned to January and it means that it was big distance from the last meeting.

Before the second Tartu CRISCO stakeholders there was quite active discussion via communication channels and we had one meeting with core partner Tartu International House.

The third meeting of the Tartu stakeholders took place on January 10, 2019. There were 20 people registered at the meeting, 12 people attended the meeting. The aim of the event was to provide an overview of the solutions and opportunities provided in Tartu to minimize the language barriers. There were 4 presentations (2 from experts and 2 form community members), after that we had a nice discussion (World Café method) and in the end we shared some experiences, since there were half on people whose native language is not Estonian, so they shared their experiences in connection with living in Estonia and communicating with Estonians.

- What are the 3 things you consider successful and 3-unsuccessfull about the local process for the reporting period (max. 10 lines):

Successful:

- Interest in CRISCO from different partners and citizens
- Fast coordination after the changes in coordination
- Discussion about language barriers and cooperation with the partners who are interested in this topic

Unsuccessfull:

- Management of time and human recourses.
- Changes in coordination
- Communication between different stakeholders





















- How did the local process support the preparation and contribution to the forthcoming transnational thematic event (max. 5 lines):

Local process is helping us to discuss and find out what kind of problem we have in the local level. Even more, the local event gives us a chance to create a new contacts between different stakeholders and cooperate with each other. A local event and process makes us think about what kind of problems we have in relation with language barriers to integration.

| - | During this reporting period, representatives of the partner have taken part as 'experts', |
|---|--|
| | 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of another partner (2 |
| | missions per CRISCO partner): |

| 0 YES <u>0 NO</u> Name(s) of the person(s): Name(s) of the visited partner(s): Motivation, reason of the visit(s): Summary of the mission(s): |
|---|
| During this reporting period, representatives of another partner have taken part as 'experts 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in the local process of the partner: |
| 0 YES <u>0 NO</u> Name(s) of the person(s): Name(s) of the visiting partner(s): |

Annexes:

0 At least one signed attendance list per reporting period (obligatory)

Motivation, reason of the visit(s): Summary of the mission(s):

- 0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit in the partner's local process (obligatory)
- 0 Pictures (obligatory)
- 0 Evidence documents for the local process: invitations, meeting reports, local on-line and paper press, leaflets... (obligatory)
- 0 Other.....





















C. Local Process – Activities during the reporting period n°3

C.1. Activity 1

Name of the activity: Stakeholders meeting in Tartu

Venue: Tartu Centre of Creative Industries, Tartu City, Estonia

Date: 10.01.2019

Number of participants: 12 (registered 20)

Attendance list:

1 YES: see annex

Representative(s) of another partner have taken part as 'experts', 'external resource persons' or 'interested persons' in this activity:

1 NO:

Type of activity:

Reflection (e.g. meeting, debate..)

Description of the activity (about 10 lines):

We met with different partners and citizens for see what is done in Tartu to minimize language barriers and what we can do more. The meeting-workshop consisted of 3 parts. At first I as a coordinator of the project gave a brief overview of the CRISCO (what has been done and what we will do in the future). After that a experts gave a overview of the opportunities in Tartu. The second part was discussions on the tables about the barriers and solutions. Discussions was organized by using the world café method. The third part was sharing experiences in connection with language barriers in Tartu (or living in Estonia more generally).

Results and outcomes of the activity (max. 10 lines):

The discussions were intense. From the discussions we found out that we have a nice opportunities for studying Estonian (language cafes, events organized from International House etc.). The important information sometimes do not reach to everyone. For example lows and important documents are not all to translated in other languages.

The other important discussion were about people who are deaf or having speaking difficulties. Deaf people very often do not have chance to be involved in entertainment actions.

The third important aspect we found is that Estonians are very protective towards their own language and culture, but on the other hand we do not support others to practice our language, because we switch very often to the other partner native language.

Relevance for and link to CRISCO (max. 5 lines):

In this event we discuss openly about language barriers and about that how CRISCO project can support all this. The main question is, if this kind of meetings will continue after the end of CRISCO. Of course it helped a lot to prepare for transnational event in



















Other comments on the activity:

The meeting was intense. All the people who participated were motivated and interested in this topic (witch was great!). Everyone spoke out and shared their experiences. In summary it was good to prepare for the transnational event and discuss about our real situation in Tartu and Estonia in generally.

Annexes for the activity:

0 Signed attendance list

- 0 Signature(s) of the representative(s) of other partner(s) who came on visit at the activity
- 0 Pictures (obligatory at least 5 per activity)
- 0 Evidence documents for the activity: invitation, meeting report, local on-line and paper press, leaflet...
- 0 Other:

Please submit (at crisco@etterbeek.irisnet.be) a draft report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 7 days after the activity, and a final report (+ annexes) of the activity not later than 1 month after the end of the reporting period

Please send pdf documents and keep all original documents in your possession and in good conditions until five years after the end of the project















